



Roll Call: Ayes: Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone  
Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams  
Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams

Nays: None

Motion Carried

\* \* \* \*

It was moved by Ms. Anne Williams, and seconded by Mr. Earl Williams, that the minutes of the regular meeting of June 22, 2020, be approved as recorded.

Roll Call: Ayes: Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone  
Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams  
Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams

Nays: None

Motion Carried

\* \* \* \*

Mayor Weiss stated that in keeping with past meetings during the pandemic, we try to keep them as close to live meetings taking public comment first on agenda items and then an opportunity at the end of the meeting for public comment on any other items beyond the agenda. We have also provided the opportunity for comments and questions to be submitted prior to the meeting, which will be read into the record.

The Mayor asked the Clerk of Council to read into the record public comments received on any agenda items.

Clerk of Council Ms. Chaikin stated that Annette Sutherland from the League of Women Voters had a few questions which we were able to answer for her in advance so we do not need to read them into the record.

\* \* \* \*

**Ordinance No. 20-57, by Mrs. Moore, approving and authorizing execution of a Purchase Agreement under the City's Side Lot Program, for the sale of the City-owned property located at 3562 Winchell Road, Shaker Heights, Ohio (Parcel No. 736-20-089), for a purchase price of \$1.00, authorizing the disposition of City-owned property without competitive bidding, and declaring an emergency.**

Kamla Lewis, Neighborhood Revitalization Director, stated that Council is accustomed by now to receiving these requests over the past several months. This application is to acquire a City-owned property located at 3562 Winchell Road by the residents adjacent to the lot who propose to enlarge their property, build a new garage, lay a new driveway, and install landscaping at an estimated cost of approximately

\$30,000 to \$35,000. The applicants meet all the criteria of the program. The City has no redevelopment plans for this vacant lot and has owned it since June 2003. There was never a home on this lot and this is the first application we have received for this property. As part of the revised program process these items come directly to Council for approval. This item is requested as an emergency and with a suspension of the rules so that the next steps in the process may commence.

It was moved by Mrs. Moore, and seconded by Ms. Carmella Williams, that the rule requiring ordinances to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 20-57 be placed upon its final enactment.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Motion Carried

Moved by Mrs. Moore, and seconded by Ms. Carmella Williams, that Ordinance No. 20-57 be enacted as read.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Ordinance Enacted

\* \* \* \*

**Ordinance No. 20-58, by Mrs. Moore, approving and authorizing a Purchase Option Agreement to sell, and the sale of City-owned property located at 22469 Fairmount Boulevard, Parcel No. 734-03-018, to Keystate Development, LLC, dba Keystate Homes, for a purchase price of \$1.00, authorizing the disposition of City-owned property without competitive bidding, and declaring an emergency.**

Kamla Lewis, Neighborhood Revitalization Director, stated that this application is from a custom homebuilder, Keystate Homes, who has been working with City staff for at least the past five years getting to know the City to understand the City's housing market and design requirements. They selected the City-owned property at 22469 Fairmount Boulevard. They would like the opportunity to have an option to hold the property for six months during which time they would have the exclusive right to market the property for sale and build a custom home, with the opportunity for an extension for an additional six months if they are unable to sell it within the first six months. This item was discussed at both the Neighborhood Revitalization and Development Committee and the Finance Committee. They discussed the pros and cons to the community and City of having a home built on the lot versus being used as a side lot. That was particularly pertinent in this case in that after the application was received from Keystate, the neighbor adjacent to the property also applied to acquire it as a side lot. They discussed the

issue of whether the \$1 price being offered is consistent with the price we have received from other developers on City-owned vacant lots for single-family development and it is consistent. Although one place in the memo on this item says we have sold six of these properties, we have actually sold seven. They were all sold for \$1 and the logic of the City is that the greatest benefit we get from this property is the increased property value. Given our higher standards for construction we would much rather that money went into a quality home than a home with lesser materials. In addition, in most parts of the City we don't have any other incentives we offer for single-family infill other than the value of the land we contribute to the deal. Most of you are aware in most of the first ring suburbs currently still the price of building a home far exceeds the amount one can make from the home. There was also discussion around how we choose between two applications if they come in simultaneously, although these did not. We have always accepted the first best offer, meaning City and Neighborhood Revitalization Committee at that time felt it was very important that the City have the flexibility in this program because every lot is different in size, location and potential uses; and market conditions change. What might be the best use at one time might be quite different at another time. We have certainly seen an uptick in the number of inquiries we are getting currently around new housing construction while three or four years ago absolutely nothing was happening on that front. This lot was privately owned previously and the house on it was demolished by the owners in 2009. The lot was purchased by the adjacent homeowner, but the lot was not consolidated. The lot became tax delinquent and the City ended up acquiring it through tax foreclosure in 2015. These two applications are the first two we have received for this property since then. Keystate Homes are well known in northeast Ohio and have been building homes since 1979. They have won a number of awards. They are transferring from the first to second generation and have worked extensively with the City staff to understand our requirements. They are very excited to have this opportunity. This item is requested as an emergency and with a suspension of the rules to enable Keystate Homes to begin marketing the property as soon as possible.

Council member Ms. Anne Williams stated that this item was reviewed and supported by the Neighborhood Revitalization and Development Committee. The discussion was reflected in the comments made by Director Lewis and included in her memo. They were all impressed with the fact that we now have a little competition for these lots between a developer and possibly a resident. The Committee was happy to see this new change of events and interest in infill housing.

Council member Mrs. Moore stated that this item was reviewed and approved by the Finance Committee with one dissenting vote regarding this being the first offer we have had from a private developer for a side lot outside of the Knez project in Moreland. There might have been a question about whether \$1 should be the price for a private developer, but after a complete explanation that feeling was not shared by the rest of the Committee.

Director Lewis stated that she did follow up with that member of the Finance Committee to make sure she understood why the dissenting vote and it was in response to the question of if we got more money up front could we use that perhaps to subsidize other areas of City development, but the Finance Committee member was not aware that we do one of these sales every year so that money would not contribute significantly to make a difference in the City budget. They also thought that if we ask for more money from developers we could use it to help existing homeowners do home repairs. Director Lewis explained our home repair program and the monies the City has historically very generously put forward through its General Fund and they were glad to hear it.

Mayor Weiss stated that because the option is unusual he assumes the primary reason is the significant marketing dollars and investment they will make in this project.

Director Lewis stated that this is unusual but not unusual in that the Knez agreement is very similar except that we did not charge for an option, we reserved properties, five at a time for Knez. The difference is that Knez agreed to build a spec home so quibbling over an option amount was not really worth it. The spec home build was a far greater investment for the City at that point in time. We certainly have done option agreements for other types of land transactions and yes this is the effort for the developer to be able to try to sense out the market.

Council member Mr. Malone asked about the proposal from the adjoining neighbor. He would like to understand better going forward if we are in these scenarios hopefully where there are a lot of people interested in a property. He asked if the criteria was derived from the original program established a number of years ago.

Director Lewis stated that the criteria was best qualified at that time and that is what we have applied since then. There are lots for example that we don't even put forward at all that we have reserved because we felt they were better for infill, like any double or triple lots. A lot may have been made available when it was a single lot, but if the City acquires the lot adjacent to it our policy has been to not make those any longer available to adjacent neighbors and reserve them for infill. Infill clearly gives the City the greatest opportunity. Since part of our goal through the Housing Plan is to encourage infill housing and diversify the housing stock we knew we needed a wider range of different sized lots. For that reason double and triple lots were particularly important. Regarding the other applicant for this lot, they simply wanted to acquire the property and consolidate it with their own. They were not proposing any improvements at this time.

Council member Mr. Malone stated that we have approved side lot applications when there have been no improvements suggested and asked if the conversation would have been different if they had suggested improvements. He also asked if this lot was reserved for infill housing.

Director Lewis clarified that prior to March 2020 all applications to be qualified required improvements. That was the change that was made this year. Only applications that Council approved this year did not have that requirement, but all applicants have still proposed improvements to the property. This is actually the first one we have received that did not. That would not have made a difference in this case because there was a timing issue. Keystate Homes submitted their application in November 2019, significantly before the application of the neighbor. This lot was available for a side lot or infill. This is one of the smaller lots on Fairmount. There had been a house on it so we knew it was feasible, but were not sure if the market would support a house on that lot.

Council member Mr. Malone stated that it is encouraging that a company like Keystate Homes is interested in developing a property on Fairmount.

Director Lewis stated that at the Mayor's request at the next Neighborhood Revitalization and Development Committee meeting we will have a discussion about the entire program and how we look at infill versus side lots and other uses so we can get some current community input into that process.

Mayor Weiss stated that we have seen an uptick in the number of side lot applications, so clearly between external forces and Director Lewis' suggestions to modify the program with Council's approval, we have seen some greater success in the side lot program. Now we are beginning to see a little uptick on potential infill. We are at that point where the potential like this situation with an interest as a side lot and as a

development. Having some further conversation about the goals and how we recommend to proceed makes sense. The goal is twofold for infill. There is obviously the land value so property taxes will go up but also if it's owned and there is income tax being generated that is significant as well. It is worth having more conversation with the Committee and public about it. It is encouraging that we are seeing a little more activity on both sides.

It was moved by Mrs. Moore, and seconded by Ms. Carmella Williams, that the rule requiring ordinances to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 20-58 be placed upon its final enactment.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Motion Carried

Moved by Mrs. Moore, and seconded by Ms. Carmella Williams, that Ordinance No. 20-58 be enacted as read.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Ordinance Enacted

\* \* \* \*

**Ordinance No. 20-59, by Mr. Zimmerman, authorizing a contract for up to two years with AECOM Technical Services, Inc. in the total not to exceed amount of \$88,923 for professional flow monitoring and field personal services related to sanitary sewer illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) investigations, and declaring an emergency.**

Patricia Speese, Public Works Director, stated that we are very aggressively pursuing remediation of our sewers throughout the City and one of the key components to measure our success is to use flow monitoring, which will tell us before we start a project how much infiltration is getting in the sewer during a storm, getting in to the sanitary sewer also which should not be occurring, and how much occurs after we have made our improvements. Obviously we hope to see a reduction. It is also necessary in our sanitary sewer overflow projects to measure the success of those as well. They occur every year for several years to ensure that the repairs are consistently successful. What we have done in the past is gone out for quotes for each project. Rather than having to go through that entire process we thought it would be better to seek proposals for a year at a time and get somebody under contract, save administrative time and cost, and enable us to perform the service to apply for grants. Going into a grant we know how much infiltration we have. If during a storm we have 75% infiltration, in our grant application we can be very specific. We went out for proposals for flow monitoring to four different firms and only two responded.

It is a niche market and we reviewed the proposals with a quality based performance review without knowing the cost, based solely on its merits. Fortunately the lowest submission was the best, AECOM. They have done some testing for us in the past. They are very good in this arena. By having this tool, we really enhance our ability to provide great data for every project that we perform. If this proposal is successful it will probably be a routine proposal that we will submit every two or three years to Council for approval. It is another tool in the toolbox to improve the sewers, measure our success, and going out for a multi-year bid will maximize our savings. This item is requested as an emergency so we can start monitoring the sewers as soon as possible.

Council member Mr. Zimmerman stated that this item was reviewed and unanimously approved by the Safety and Public Works Committee. There were no technical questions raised at all about the need or mechanics behind this, but why there were so few bidders.

Council member Mrs. Moore stated that this item was reviewed and unanimously supported by the Finance Committee. They were impressed with the multi-year contract and the \$11,000 savings we received, approximately 30% over what we had paid for past projects.

It was moved by Mr. Zimmerman, and seconded by Mr. Earl Williams, that the rule requiring ordinances to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 20-59 be placed upon its final enactment.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Motion Carried

Moved by Mr. Zimmerman, and seconded by Mr. Earl Williams, that Ordinance No. 20-59 be enacted as read.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Ordinance Enacted

\* \* \* \*

**Ordinance No. 20-60, by Mrs. Moore, authorizing a personal services contract with RMS Investments for the period August 2020 through August 2021, for the Van Aken District Clean and Safe Program in an amount not-to-exceed \$34,095.30, and declaring an emergency.**

Laura Englehart, Economic Development Director; joined by Jason Russell, RMS Van Aken District General Manager, stated that she will make a few remarks before turning things over to Mr. Russell to

provide more information about how the Clean and Safe program has been working. It has been in place for two years in the Van Aken District in which there are Ambassadors that are providing clean and safe and hospitality services throughout both the newly constructed RMS owned portion of the Van Aken District as well as a number of areas outside the immediate new construction. We like to look at it as the greater Van Aken District and are seeking to invite the community to come to all parts of the larger greater Van Aken District and want to make sure that we are keeping the same level of services, of cleanliness and safety, throughout the entire commercial district. The program has been in place for two years and the request tonight is to enter into a contract with RMS for a third year. RMS enters into a separate contract with the Downtown Cleveland Alliance (DCA) to provide the services and the City along with Equity Engineering (E2G), University Hospitals, and RMS jointly provide funding for the program. The City's portion is 28 % of the total contract cost and has been over the last couple of years. That percentage is based on the amount of land that the City owns in the district. The percentage of the other entities have contributed is based on their land area as well as the number of employees in the district and any additional services that may be required based on employee counts. This year the contract price is going down. Mr. Russell can provide more detail but there have been different levels of shifts that have been provided by the Ambassadors in the district. We have not used peak hours this year largely due to the pandemic and some reduced activity in the district, but particularly during this public health crisis cleaning and safety services are of utmost concern and services have certainly continued. We have seen that we can provide the same level of good services without having overlapping shifts and that reduces the total contract price. The City's portion of the proposed contract would be \$34,095.30.

Jason Russell stated that this year we were scheduled to go into our normal peak time in April and as a cost saving measure they decided to keep it at the non-peak scheduling because with the stay at home order there really wasn't a lot of people out. They did not want to bring on the third person to provide additional services as they normally would during peak hours. In May and June when they reopened and people were returning to the district, they recognized they were still getting the same level of service as during the non-peak hours with the summer peak hours so they decided to stay with the non-peak hours. Especially given the contract renewal time in August and still some economic uncertainty for the rest of this year and perhaps into 2021 as well, they thought it was prudent to stay at non-peak hours for the entire calendar year for the upcoming contract to keep costs in check and still maintain the same level of service geographically and throughout the hours of the day. They still have someone from 7 a.m. to midnight every day in the district providing clean and safe services. One of the benefits of the service is that we have had the same people for almost the entire time. The night Ambassador has been with us the entire two years of the contract so everyone knows him, whether they are in the district, Tower East, or University Hospitals. He makes himself known and outside of the cleaning and security the hospitality is part of it. They always know there is someone there who knows who you are. The morning Ambassador has been with us about 18 months. To have that continuing staff of Ambassadors here with a smiling face has been extremely helpful for them in the district collectively.

Director Englehart stated that there was good discussion at both the Neighborhood Revitalization and Development and Finance Committees partially focused on reduced activity during the stay at home order and whether the contract price changes. We obviously need to maintain a level of cleaning services particularly now so it is really important those services be maintained even in times of reduced activity to make sure the community remains safe. Further discussion included flexibility in the contract or if it was a fixed price. We do not anticipate there will be any changes. However, the City's portion of the total contract price will remain 28%. If we do decide at some point that services can or should be reduced further, the City's portion would also be reduced further. However, if there are additional deep cleaning

that is not included in the proposed contract. We can be assured that our investment is capped but it could potentially be reduced if that is something that is necessary in future times.

Council member Ms. Anne Williams stated that this item was reviewed and unanimously supported by the Neighborhood Revitalization and Development Committee. There was great appreciation for how this program has been run and they look forward to its continued involvement in the district.

Council member Mrs. Moore stated that this item was reviewed and unanimously supported by the Finance Committee. There is a saving on this contract price and the only criticism was why it could not extend to more properties on Warrensville. It is so successful there is clamoring for more.

Council member Mr. Malone stated that he would be remiss if he did not raise the issue of this idea being expanded to the Lee Road Corridor. He thinks this program has been terrific for the Van Aken district and this is not necessarily the best time to ask businesses to contribute to a business improvement district due to the pandemic but he would like to see the City think a little more about expanding this ideas to the Lee Road Corridor and perhaps even addressing it in the budget process this fall. He thinks that district needs cleanliness and safety. While people may not be visiting for lunch the way they do elsewhere, he still thinks there is a lot that can be done there. He asked if Director Englehart could update us on talks regarding a business improvement district in the future.

Director Englehart stated that we have two very active business associations in Shaker Heights: one in the Van Aken district; and one in the Chagrin/Lee district. There has been conversation in both about additional services, joint activity, moving forward together and how we could be providing similar clean and safe type services in the Chagrin/Lee area. In terms of actually forming a business improvement district, which is a legal process that forms a new entity, and there is ultimately an assessment placed on properties that help fund the effort, the conversation has started, but the pandemic has put those discussions on hold for now. It is a really difficult time to ask businesses and property owners to contribute to something taking more money out of their pocketbook at a challenging time for those types of services. The business associations are certainly talking about it and the City, RMS and the other property owners in the Van Aken district are continuing to think about what it looks like long term, how it might be structured, and what it would look like down the road.

Mayor Weiss thanked Mr. Russell for his good work at the Van Aken District along with Director Englehart and the Economic Development Department.

It was moved by Mrs. Moore, and seconded by Ms. Anne Williams, that the rule requiring ordinances to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 20-60 be placed upon its final enactment.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
------------	-------	--

Nays:	None
-------	------

Motion Carried

Moved by Mrs. Moore, and seconded by Ms. Anne Williams, that Ordinance No. 20-60 be enacted as read.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Ordinance Enacted

\* \* \* \*

**Ordinance No. 20-61, by Mr. Malone, administrative acceptance of the approval of the City Planning Commission and confirmation of the granting of a conditional use permit for a specialized instructional school, known as Dance by Sha’Ran, located at Christ Episcopal Church, 3445 Warrensville Center Road, pursuant to Section 1213.05 of the City’s Zoning Code.**

Joyce Braverman, Director of Planning, stated that this item is for a conditional use permit for Dance by Sha’Ran, a dance school in the lower level of Christ Episcopal Church. Dance by Sha’Ran proposes to use about half of the lower level space at Christ Episcopal Church, for offices, dressing rooms, as well as the dance class studios. Classes will be for various age groups from children to adults and be limited to 10 people and Zoom classes until the pandemic lessens when 20 students will be the maximum. The hours of operation are Monday through Thursday, 9 a.m. to 8 p.m.; Friday, 6 a.m. to 10 p.m.; and Saturday, 8 a.m. to 1 p.m. Parking will be in the northern parking lot. This is the same space once rented by Verb Ballets with a parking variance already for this location. Council confirmation is required for conditional use permits. This item is requested with a suspension of the rules so renovations can begin in this space.

Council member Mr. Malone stated that this item was reviewed and unanimously approved by the City Planning Commission. There was very little discussion as this is very similar to the use that Verb Ballets had for the space. The discussion was confirming there will be no increase in parking, or odd hours. It will be very similar to Verb Ballets.

Mayor Weiss added that this is a new business to Shaker so we are always happy to see that as well.

Council member Mr. Earl Williams asked about church services in the same space where this dance studio will be occupied.

Director Braverman stated that the dance classes will be in the basement in the former parish hall. This is an area that has not been used by the church in over a decade and has been rented for that entire time.

It was moved by Mr. Malone, and seconded by Ms. Anne Williams, that the rule requiring ordinances to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 20-61 be placed upon its final enactment.

Roll Call: Ayes: Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone  
Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams  
Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams

Nays: None

Motion Carried

Moved by Mr. Malone, and seconded by Ms. Anne Williams, that Ordinance No. 20-61 be enacted as read.

Roll Call: Ayes: Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone  
Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams  
Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams

Nays: None

Ordinance Enacted

\* \* \* \*

**Ordinance No. 20-62, by Mr. Earl Williams, amending Ordinance No. 19-125, an ordinance appropriating funds from the General Capital Fund 0401, for the acquisition of equipment, and the repair and replacement of Recreation facilities by the Recreation Department, by appropriating an additional \$20,000 from the General Capital Fund 0401 for the replacement and installation of the desiccant wheel on the Thornton Park Ice Rink dehumidifier, and declaring an emergency.**

Alexandria Nichols, Recreation Director, stated that in late 2019 the Recreation Department maintenance team noticed we were having a lot of condensation inside of the rink, so we had a repair technician come out and take a look at the dehumidification system. He noticed that the desiccant wheel in the dehumidifier had gone bad and this is the primary component that is responsible for removal of the moisture from the air. Without the dehumidifier the rink has increased condensation and this can be corrosive to the facility and also create an unsafe skating atmosphere. This is very similar to an engine in a car in that if your engine goes, the car will die as well. The cost to replace the desiccant wheel including labor is estimated to be less than \$20,000. In 2018 we did a rink study and in the summary report they concluded that the dehumidifier was up for potential improvements based upon the age of the equipment. The current unit was installed in 1998. The estimated cost to replace a dehumidifier is between \$100,000 and \$125,000 and they typically last about 20 years. If we were to just replace the desiccant wheel we estimate we can get another 10 years of use to life prior to replacing the entire unit. This does align with Forward Together in that the City is considering updates to Thornton Park along with other capital projects in collaboration with the schools and library to partner on large capital projects. Replacement of the wheel works with this plan because we are not spending a lot of money and buying ourselves some time since we do not know what the future plans would be. The Recreation Committee was concerned about not replacing the wheel and causing dangerous skating conditions and contributing to future facility damage. The Finance Committee discussed the cost for replacement and asked where the money would be allocated. Staff received three quotes for the installation with the lowest at \$1,275, added to the cost of the desiccant wheel of \$16,126 for parts and labor, gives a total cost of \$19,501. If we were to delay

this work until August if would further delay repair to the dehumidifier and the Recreation Department is considering opening the rink in a couple of weeks on a limited basis for heavy users. There are emergency funds available for this project in the General Capital Fund and to date there have been no emergencies in Public Works that require these funds. This item is requested as an emergency and with a suspension of the rules so we can move forward with the purchase as quickly as possible.

Council member Mr. Earl Williams stated that this item was reviewed and approved by the Recreation Committee. We need to get the desiccant wheel installed. We have several different potential users of the rink that will be ready and able to get in there as soon as possible.

Council member Mrs. Moore stated that this item was reviewed and unanimously approved by the Finance Committee.

It was moved by Mr. Earl Williams, and seconded by Mrs. Moore, that the rule requiring ordinances to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 20-62 be placed upon its final enactment.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Motion Carried

Moved by Mr. Earl Williams, and seconded by Mrs. Moore, that Ordinance No. 20-62 be enacted as read.

Roll Call:	Ayes:	Mr. Zimmerman, Mr. Malone Mrs. Moore, Mr. Roeder, Ms. Anne Williams Ms. Carmella Williams, Mr. Earl Williams
	Nays:	None

Ordinance Enacted

\* \* \* \*

**Ordinance No. 20-63, by Mr. Zimmerman, authorizing the execution of Then and Now Certificates by the Director of Finance and the payment of amounts due for various purchase orders, and declaring an emergency.**

John Potts, Finance Director, stated that there are two items requiring Then and Now Certificates during this time period. This item is requested as an emergency and with a suspension of the rules so the payments for these transactions may be approved.

It was moved by Mr. Zimmerman, and seconded by Mrs. Moore, that the rule requiring ordinances to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 20-63 be placed upon its final enactment.

